



Democracy Services
London Borough of Merton
Merton Civic Centre
London Road
Morden SM4 5DX

Direct Line: 0208 545 3356
Email: democratic.services@merton.gov.uk

Date: 9 October 2017

Dear Councillor

**Notification of a Decision taken by the Cabinet Member for
Regeneration, Environment and Housing**

The attached non-key decision has been taken by the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Environment and Housing, with regards to:

- Proposed waiting restrictions borough wide Batch 2- 2017 (statutory consultation)

and will be implemented at **noon on Thursday 12 October 2017** unless a call-in request is received.

The [call-in](#) form is attached for your use if needed and refers to the relevant sections of the constitution.

Yours sincerely

Lisa Jewell
Democracy Services

NON-KEY DECISION TAKEN BY A CABINET MEMBER UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

See over for instructions on how to use this form – all parts of this form must be completed. Type all information in the boxes. The boxes will expand to accommodate extra lines where needed.

1. Title of report

Proposed waiting restrictions borough wide 2017 Batch 2 (statutory consultation)

2. Reason for exemption (if any)

None

3. Decision maker

Councillor Martin Whelton, Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Environment and Housing

4. Date of Decision

6th October 2017

5. Date report made available to decision maker

20 September 2017

6. Decision

1) Notes the result of the statutory consultation carried out between 6th July and 28th July 2017 on the proposals to introduce 'at any time' waiting restrictions at various locations across the borough.

2) Agrees to proceed with the making of the relevant Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) and the implementation of the waiting and loading restrictions 'at any time' at various locations across the borough as shown in Drawing Nos. Z27-653-01 – Z27-653-15.

3) Agrees to exercise his discretion not to hold a public inquiry on the consultation process.

7. Reason for decision

To improve road safety in the road
To deal with dangerous and obstructive parking
To improve access to the road
To improve visibility in the road

8. Alternative options considered and why rejected

Do nothing. This would be contrary to the concerns expressed by the local communities, and would not resolve the dangerous and obstructive parking that is currently taking place.

Not to implement

9. Documents relied on in addition to officer report

None

10. Declarations of Interest

Correspondence made in my capacity as a ward councillor on Sussex Road

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Martin Whelton', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Cllr Martin Whelton

Cabinet member for regeneration, environment and housing

6 October 2017

Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Environment and Housing:

Date: 20th September 2017

Ward: Various

Subject: Proposed waiting restrictions borough wide 2017 Batch 2 (statutory consultation)

Lead officer: Chris Lee, Director of Environment & Regeneration

Lead member: Councillor Martin Whelton, Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Environment and Housing

Contact Officer: Barry Copestake, Tel: 020 8545 3840

Email: barry.copestake@merton.gov.uk

Recommendations:

That the Cabinet Member considers the issues details in this report and:

- 1) Notes the result of the statutory consultation carried out between 6th July and 28th July 2017 on the proposals to introduce 'at any time' waiting restrictions at various locations across the borough.
- 2) Agrees to proceed with the making of the relevant Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) and the implementation of the waiting and loading restrictions 'at any time' at various locations across the borough as shown in Drawing Nos. Z27-653-01 – Z27-653-15.
- 3) Agrees to exercise his discretion not to hold a public inquiry on the consultation process.

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report details the undertaking of the statutory consultation and the outcome on the Councils' proposals to introduce waiting and loading restrictions across the borough operating 'at any time'.
- 1.2 It seeks approval to proceed with the making of the relevant Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) to introduce waiting and loading restrictions at various locations across the borough operational 'at any time' as shown in Drawing Nos. Z27-653-01 – Z27-653-15.

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Officers regularly receive complaints and concerns regarding obstructive and dangerous parking from emergency services, local ward members and the local residents. Due to the large number of requests that are received throughout the year, it has been necessary to group these requests with the intention of undertaking a borough wide statutory consultation. Each request is added to a rolling programme for investigation and the appropriate recommendations and the proposals are formulated in one report.

3 STATUTORY CONSULTATION

- 3.1 The statutory consultation on the Council's proposal to introduce waiting restrictions at various locations across the borough commenced on 6th July 2017 and ended on 28th July 2017. The consultation included the erection of street Notices on lamp columns in the vicinity of the proposals and the publication of the Council's intentions in the Local Guardian and the

London Gazette. Details and drawing plans of the proposals, see appendix A, were also available on the Council's website and a link to this website was included on all street notices.

3.2 Locations of proposals are as follows (drawing plans can be found at appendix A),

1. Abbots Road, CR4
2. Edmund Road, CR4
3. Heatherdene Close, CR4
4. Morland Close, CR4
5. Sussex Road, CR4
6. Cardinal Close, SM4
7. Central Road, SM4
8. Dudley Drive, SM4
9. Montacute Road, SM4
10. Farquhar Road, SW19
11. Wandle Bank, SW19
12. Lake Road, SW19
13. Fairway and Linkway, SW20
14. Hidcote Gardens, SW20
15. Vernon Avenue, SW20

3.3 The statutory consultation resulted in the Council receiving a total of 14 representations, which consisted of 1 representation from Central Road, 5 for Linkway, 4 regarding Morland Close and lastly 4 representations for Vernon Avenue. The representations are further explained in section 4 and the content of the representations can be found in appendix B.

3.4 It is important to note that the council must strike a balance of ensuring safety and maintaining unobstructed traffic flow whilst acknowledging the parking needs of the community.

Ward Councillor Comment

3.5 Ward Members of the wards affected by the proposals have been engaged during the statutory consultation process with the proposals.

3.6 Councillor Ian Munn submitted an objection to the proposed waiting restrictions in Morland Close. Details are in appendix B - ES/WR2017B2/Morland Close/003.

3.7 No objections received from any other Ward Councillors.

4 REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

4.1 **Central Road, CR4.** The Council originally received a petition from residents in this cul de sac requesting double yellow lines at the junction and along one side of the entrance road to address obstructive parking and improve sightlines to approaching traffic. 1 representation was received in support.

4.2 **Linkway, SW20.** Ward Councillor made request on behalf of residents due to obstructive parking on the bend at this location. The Councils received 5 representations in support with requests for further restrictions to be introduced.

4.3 **Morland Close, CR4.** The local MP made a request on behalf of local residents for double yellow lines to be introduced into the close due to residents' concerns regarding inability to manoeuvre through the close and access private parking areas due to obstructive parking. 2 representations in support and 2 representations objecting to the proposals were received.

- 4.4 The 2 objections were from residents of a neighbouring road who raised concern of insufficient parking in the area and of reliance to park in Morland Close in the event that their own road reaches full parking capacity. Full details of the representations can be found in appendix B.
- 4.5 Giving consideration to the representations received and additional investigation officers have revised the proposal for Morland Close to relocate the double yellow lines to the opposite side of the carriageway adjacent to No.33 and 34 and provide 2 additional parking spaces adjacent to No.26 Church Road, to provide 8 spaces in total. It is important to note that waiting restrictions are proposed where parking cannot be accommodated without causing obstruction. The revised proposal can be found in appendix C.
- 4.6 **Vernon Avenue, SW20.** Request from the Ward Councillor on behalf of residents requesting increased waiting restrictions due to safety concerns for vehicles exiting Vernon Avenue because of parked vehicles on Kingston Road hindering sightlines to approaching traffic at this junction. 4 representations in support were received.

5 TIMETABLE

- 5.1 If a decision is made to proceed with implementation of the proposed waiting restrictions, Traffic Management Orders could be made six weeks after the made decision. This will include the erection of the Notices on lamp columns in the area, the publication of the made Orders in the Local Guardian and the London Gazette. The documents will be made available at the Link, Civic Centre and on the Council's website. The measures will be introduced soon after.

6 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 6.1 Do nothing. This would be contrary to the concerns expressed by the local communities, and would not resolve the dangerous and obstructive parking that is currently taking place.

7 FINANCIAL RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 To introduce the proposed restrictions will cost approximately £5k. This includes the making of The Traffic Management Orders. The set up costs will be funded from the Capital budget identified for controlled parking zones within the Capital Programme 2017/2018.

8 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 8.1 The Traffic Management Orders would be made under Section 6 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (as amended). The Council is required by the Local Authorities Traffic Order (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 to give notice of its intention to make a Traffic Order (by publishing a draft traffic order). These regulations also require the Council to consider any representations received as a result of publishing the draft order.
- 8.2 The Council has discretion as to whether or not to hold a public inquiry before deciding whether or not to make a Traffic Management Order or to modify the published draft Order. A public inquiry should be held where it would provide further information, which would assist the Cabinet Member in reaching a decision.

9 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 The Council carries out careful consultation to ensure that all road users are given a fair opportunity to air their views and express their needs. The parking needs of the residents and visitors are given consideration but it is considered that maintaining safe access must take priority.

- 9.2 Bodies representing motorists, including commuters are included in the statutory consultation required for draft traffic management and similar orders.
- 9.3 The implementation of waiting restrictions affects all sections of the community especially the young and the elderly and assists in improving safety for all road users as well as achieving the transport planning policies of the government, the Mayor for London and the borough.
- 9.4 By maintaining clear access points, visibility will improve thereby improving the safety at junctions; bends and along narrow sections of a road and subsequently reducing potential accidents.
- 9.5 Regulating and formulating the flow of traffic will ensure the safety of all road users and improved access throughout the day.


10 RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

- 10.1 The risk in not introducing the proposed waiting restrictions would be the potential risk to all road users, businesses and visitors, in the case of an emergency, and access difficulties will not be addressed. It would also be contrary to the support and concerns expressed and could lead to loss of public confidence in the Council.
- 10.2 The risk of introducing the proposed restrictions could lead to possible extra pressure on the current parking demand in the surrounding roads at each location. However, the benefits of the proposals outweigh the possible increase in demand.

11 APPENDICES

- 11.1 The following documents are to be published with this report and form part of the report.
- 11.2 Appendix A - Drawing Nos. Z27-653-01 – Z27-653-15
- 11.3 Appendix B - Representations and Officer's Comments
- 11.4 Appendix C – Drawing No. Z27-653-04 Revision A

Appendix A - Drawing Nos. Z27-653-01 – Z27-653-15



Key

Proposed Waiting Restrictions
'At any time'

Existing Waiting Restrictions

Dropped Kerb

Notes
Do not scale from this drawing

Rev	Revisions	Drawn	Sig.	Date	Chk'd	Sig.	Date	App.	Sig.	Date

Sustainable Communities
environment & regeneration

TRAFFIC & HIGHWAYS


Reproduced from/based upon the Ordnance Survey's 1:250 maps with the permission of the Controller of HMSO. Crown Copyright Reserved. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil action. For more information contact the Survey of the London Borough of Merton 100019259 2017				
Drawn by JC	Sig.	Date May 2017		
Checked by	Sig.	Date May 2017		
Approved by	Sig.	Date May 2017		

PROJECT
Waiting Restrictions
(Proposed)

TITLE
Heatherdene Close, SM4

merton
Merton Civic Centre
London Road
Morden, Surrey
SM4 5DX
www.merton.gov.uk

Drawing No. Z27-653-03
Revision -
Classification CONSULTATION
Scale 1: 500



Key
 Proposed Waiting Restrictions

Notes
 Do not scale from this drawing

Rev	Revisions

Drawn	Sb.	Date	Chk'd	Sb.	Date	App.	Sb.	Date

Sustainable Communities
 environment & regeneration

TRAFFIC & HIGHWAYS

Drawn by	Sb.	Date	Checked by	Sb.	Date	Approved by	Sb.	Date

PROJECT	TITLE
Waiting Restrictions (Proposed)	Sussex Road, CR4


merton
 Merton Civic Centre
 London Road
 Morden, Surrey
 SM4 5DX
 www.merton.gov.uk

CONSULTATION

Scale: 1: 500

Revision: -

Drawing No: Z27-653-05



Key

Proposed Waiting Restrictions
'At any time'

Dropped Kerb

Notes
Do not scale from this drawing

Rev	Revisions

Drawn	Sb.	Date	Chk'd	Sb.	Date	App.	Sb.	Date

Sustainable Communities
environment & regeneration

TRAFFIC & HIGHWAYS

Reproduced from the Department of the Environment's 1:250 maps with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

Copyright © Crown Copyright 2017

Drawn by
JC

Checked by
JC

Approved by

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017

PROJECT
Waiting Restrictions
(Proposed)

TITLE
Cardinal Close, SM4

Merton Civic Centre
London Road
Morden, Surrey
SM4 5DX
www.merton.gov.uk

Drawn by
JC

Checked by
JC

Approved by

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017

Drawn by
JC

Checked by
JC

Approved by

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017

Drawn by
JC

Checked by
JC

Approved by

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017

Drawn by
JC


Checked by
JC

Approved by

Date
May 2017

Date
May 2017


Date
May 2017



Key

- Proposed waiting restrictions 'At any time'
- Dropped Kerb
- Existing waiting restrictions
- Existing permit parking bay

Notes Do not scale from this drawing	Rev		Revisions								
PROJECT				Waiting Restrictions (Proposed)				TITLE			
 Sustainable Communities environment & regeneration				Reproduced from/based upon the Ordnance Survey map with the permission of the Controller of HMSO. Crown Copyright Reserved. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. © Crown Copyright and the Merton Local Authority 10004539 2017				Merton Civic Centre London Road Morden, Surrey SM4 5DX www.merton.gov.uk			
Drawn by				SB				Date			
				JC				May 2017			
Checked by				SB				Date			
								May 2017			
Approved by				SB				Date			
								May 2017			
TRAFFIC & HIGHWAYS								Merton Civic Centre London Road Morden, Surrey SM4 5DX www.merton.gov.uk			



Key

Proposed waiting restrictions
'At any time'

Dropped KerbExisting waiting restrictionsExisting permit parking bay

Notes
Do not scale from this drawing

Rev	Revisions

Drawn	Sig.	Date	Chk'd	Sig.	Date	App.	Sig.	Date

TRAFFIC & HIGHWAYS

Reproduced from the Survey's 1:250 maps with the permission of the Ordnance Survey. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.

Drawn by	BC	Date	May 2017
Checked by		Date	May 2017
Approved by		Date	May 2017

PROJECT

Waiting Restrictions
(Proposed)

TITLE

Lake Road and Ricards Road
SW19


Merton Civic Centre
London Road
Morden, Surrey
SM4 5DX
www.merton.gov.uk

Drawing No.
Z27-653-12

Revision
-

Classification
CONSULTATION

Scale
1: 500



Notes
Do not scale from this drawing

Rev	Revisions

Drawn	Sig.	Date	Chk'd	Sig.	Date	App.	Sig.	Date




Sustainable
Communities
environment & regeneration

TRAFFIC & HIGHWAYS

Drawn by	Sig.	Date	Checked by	Sig.	Date	Approved by	Sig.	Date

Reproduced from the 1:500 maps with the permission of the Survey of the Environment. This drawing is a reproduction of the original drawing and may lead to prosecution or civil copyright infringement if reproduced without the permission of the Survey of the Environment.

PROJECT	TITLE
Waiting Restrictions (Proposed)	Fairway and Linkway SW20



Merton Civic Centre
London Road
Morden, Surrey
SM4 5DX
www.merton.gov.uk

Drawing No. Z27-653-13

Revision -

Classification CONSULTATION

Scale 1: 500

Appendix B - Representations and Officers' Comments

Central Road, SM4

ES/WR2017B2/Central Rd/001

Thank you for listing our concerns about parking for consultation. Having looked at the map of where you propose to locate yellow lines I have only one comment. The diagram should read 143-165A Central Road and not as stated. If the consultation process agrees with your proposals when do you expect work to take place?

Linkway, SW20

ES/WR2017B2/Linkway/001

I am writing to support the proposal to put double yellow lines on the Linkway / Fairway bend. Could you also consider putting them on the bend outside number 66 Linkway?

ES/WR2017B2/Linkway/002

I fully endorse the proposed double yellow lines on the bend where Fairway and Linkway meet. However, I would like to further add that I believe the single bay outside number 2 and 4 Linkway should also be a double yellow line. Vehicles regularly exceed the speed limit on these roads and trying to enter and exit from my driveway is exceedingly difficult and dangerous if there is a vehicle parked in that space and or opposite the road.

ES/WR2017B2/Linkway/003

We live on the bend on the opposite side of Linkway to the proposed double yellow lines, and feel it is necessary for the double yellow lines to continue up to the beginning of the crossover at 5 Linkway.

If parking is allowed between the end of the double yellow line and the crossover of 5 Linkway, shown on the plan as 4.8m, there is no clear passing point and we constantly see cars having to avoid each other, and larger vehicles such as fire engines, ambulances and heavy lorries, having difficulty getting through the space between cars parked on both sides of the road.

ES/WR2017B2/Linkway/004

We want to make you aware of similar issues of congested parking, loading times and waiting of vehicles occurs at the bend on the other end of Linkway (after the width restriction around 64 and 66 Linkway) and we would therefore recommend to Merton Council that double yellow lines are also added at that other bend in Linkway to ensure large or loading vehicles do not park there and also block access through parking there.

ES/WR2017B2/Linkway/005

I am concerned about the proposed double yellow line with "no parking at any time" line from 1 to 3 Linkway. The proposal states that this is in order to 'aim to improve safety, visibility and provide clear access for all road users, this is particularly important for emergency service vehicles (ambulance and fire engines) and the council's refuse collection service vehicles.'

However, the proposals do not add up to increasing safety on the road. In theory, if you remove all cars from being parked on Fairway and Linkway that would of course improve safety. However, the key is to remove them at the points where it would cause a potential impact.

This current proposal doesn't improve the safety as the real issue is on the bend itself, where, larger vehicles would need extra manoeuvring space on the turn. However, the proposal is to remove a parking space WELL BEFORE this point.

The length of road at the proposed parking removal spot outside 1-3 Linkway is the same width of road as the rest of Fairway. So why it is that this is an issue at the top end of the road, when it isn't an issue further down?

(Note: we still get the garbage trucks, and ambulance through fine - the only issue is when so many cars use this road as a 'through road' by making roads wider and them using it as a side cut that the real issue is.)

Therefore yes, if you want more space, you need to increase it AT THE CURVE itself.

There is absolutely no point to removing it down the road where currently there is no issue at that particular strip of the road and on that basis, I challenge the council spending money on this proposal simply to show it is addressing an issue at this point of the road at all. It's simply a waste of money if not done at the right spot.

Officer's Comments:

The proposal seeks to provide an 'at any time' waiting restriction outside No.1 – 5 Linkway which is on the bend itself at this location. This will restrict vehicles from parking and causing obstruction on the inside kerblane of this bend and assist with moving traffic and unobstructed sightlines. Officers have noted residents' concerns for further waiting restrictions and this will be progressed with future investigation as part of the Council's borough-wide proposed waiting restrictions programme.

Morland Close, CR4

ES/WR2017B2/Morland Close/001

I am a resident of Morland Close Mitcham CR4 and write regarding the proposed waiting restrictions (yellow Lines) being proposed to Morland Close. I am completely in favour as for some time I have been concerned that should the fire brigade require access to the bottom end of the cul de sac they would find their access restricted or possibly blocked due to vehicles parked on the pavements on both sides of the road.

If I could make a suggestion on reviewing the proposed map I noticed the double yellow lines are marked to the left hand side as you enter the road thus leaving the right hand side clear. I would point out that there are two properties (No 33 and 34) on the right hand side of the road that have opening windows close to the pavement hence should vehicle have parking access here the view could be blocked by high sided parked vehicles, this is not the case on the other side of the road where there are just solid walls (no windows) or bushes, hence no residents would be effected visually.

I therefore believe the yellow lines would be better placed on the right hand side of the road on entrance which would not affect the view of any properties and would approximately enable the same number of vehicle on street parking.

ES/WR2017B2/Morland Close/002

As a resident, I am offering my full support for the proposed parking restrictions, with the only regret that it hasn't been introduced earlier. There are numerous drivers that are working in the local area who are parking on this road without any consideration towards the local residents and ignoring any basic safety rules.

The pavements are blocked by inconsiderate drivers on the majority of the days and vehicles are blocking the road sometimes by parking on the road on one side (all 4 wheels) and half on pavement / half on the street on the other side. Emergency vehicles would not be able to access my property probably 4 days out of 7 and pedestrians would have to walk on the road 5 out of 7 days. This is completely unacceptable and a great cause of concerns for me, my wife and my two young children.

Also the exit from the car park is often blocked by vehicles parked too close to the exit, on the left and on the right side, with vehicles parked on the pavement on the other side of the road at all times. There were a few circumstances when I was not able to take my vehicle to work which is very inconvenient when this is not planned in advance.

The situation deteriorated a lot in the last three years and has been made even worse by the restrictions introduced on other streets within our vicinity. Also the changes to the rules that allowed drivers to park on the pavement were a negative contributing factor as well. Vehicles blocking the pavement / the road were not monitored at all the times and the penalty charges were not a deterrent for not following the rules.

ES/WR2017B2/Morland Close/003

The effect of these proposals, if approved, would prohibit waiting by vehicles (otherwise for the purpose of delivering or collecting goods or loading or unloading a vehicle for 20 minutes and prohibit waiting or parking at any time in the lengths of road specified by the proposed Orders. In Morland Close these prohibitions would extend to: all sides,

(1) except the west side, from a point 7 metres south of the south wall of Nos 9 and 10 Morland Close northward for 10.1 metres; and

(2) the east side, from a point 36.5 metres north of the northern kerb-line of Church Road northward to a point opposite the north wall of Nos 32 and 33 Morland Close.

If approved, these prohibitions would leave space for only 6 small vehicles to park: 2 on the west side and 4 on the east side of the road. Such draconian measures would cause significant harm to users of this road.

The stated intention of the Order is to improve safety, prevent obstructive parking and to reduce personal injury and road accidents.

I have lived in Church Road since 1987, and though I usually park outside my home – where there is space for 4 vehicles (plus 1 disabled vehicle), I am frequently obliged to park in Morland Close thus I am familiar with conditions in this cul de sac. During the time that I have lived here I am not aware of any personal injury or road accidents in this road; and I can recall only 3 PCNs being issued in respect of obstructive parking. These Notices were issued in 2016 following complaints from residents in this road following complaints (see below)

Morland Close is among many roads in this area where parking pressure is significant. It is listed in the April 2016 Ordinance on Footway Parking as among the streets where, provided 1 metre of footway is left for pedestrians, cars may be parked with 2 wheels on the footpath. This is how vehicles are usually parked in this road and this has been the practice for many years. Most vehicles parked in this road are parked in compliance with the Ordinance: and I have received few complaints about non-compliance.

I am aware of other complaints from residents in this street, and have had conversations with those residents who have asked for parking restrictions to be put in place. These residents complained, in 2016, when another resident in the road (who had some 3 or 5 vehicles – the number varied depending on his business activities) caused mayhem by inconsiderate parking. On more than one occasion this resident's inconsiderate parking caused obstruction and prevented other residents from entering or leaving their private parking bays. This bad neighbour has now left the area. The mayhem residents experienced has now ceased; and the principal cause of their complaints no longer exists.

There is a significant amount of private parking provision in Morland Close. There are 34 properties in this street (2 of which have been converted into flats). These properties have, between them, 31 off street private parking bays. Properties at 20 to 26 have no private bays and need to park on the street. There is no controlled parking in this street, so, if the Parking Restriction Order were to be approved, the 6 residents living in Morland Close would have to compete with other road users to be able to park in the 6 spaces that would be available. Clearly this would be unacceptable.

There is considerable parking stress in the area surrounding Morland Close. In consequence other residents and visitors use the present on-street parking in this street. For example residents of Beadle Court (where there is an inadequate supply of parking bays) and those living in Preshaw Crescent (where there is no parking at all) use this street. Similarly staff working at Vestry Hall use some of the Morland Close road space. Parents dropping off or collecting children from the nearby Cricket Green School also use this road.

The use of Morland Close for occasional parking by visitors to the area does mean that this street is sometimes extensively used during the day; however, access / egress for the Morland Close residents private parking bays is not interrupted. During the evenings and at weekends there is usually sufficient space for other road users.

In conclusion the original complaints arising from the behaviour of one bad neighbour have been resolved. There have been few or no accidents in Morland Close. The extent of recorded obstructive parking is negligible. The extent of yellow lines and waiting restrictions proposed for Morland Close are excessive and should be rejected, as their imposition would cause considerable and unnecessary stress to other road users

ES/WR2017B2/Morland Close/004

I have lived in Church Rd for 13 Years and when I'm unable to park outside my home, where there are 4 parking spaces and a disabled bay, I park my car in Morland Close.

There is considerable parking stress in the area surrounding Morland Close. In consequence other residents and visitors use the present on-street parking in this street. For example residents of Beadle Court (where there is an inadequate supply of parking bays) and those living in Preshaw Crescent (where there is no parking at all) use this street. Similarly staff working at Vestry Hall use some of the Morland Close road space. Parents dropping off or collecting children from the nearby Cricket Green School also use this road.

Also because of newly imposed parking restrictions enforced in Frimley Gardens and Church Place, residents of these streets now use Morland Close. As a result I am finding it increasingly difficult to park my car near my home anyway which is extremely worrying and stressful, as I have a young daughter and I'm finding it very difficult to a) find somewhere to park and b) getting my daughter home safely, especially if I have shopping or luggage to carry.

I can only see the situation becoming worse in the immediate area because of the multiple planning permissions

being granted to build flats and the innumerable conversions currently taking place. This small historic conservation area is becoming totally saturated and is becoming unsustainable, even more so if these ludicrous proposals are approved.

I am aware of complaints from residents in Morland Close, and have had conversations with those residents who have asked for parking restrictions to be put in place. These residents complained, in 2016, when another resident in the road (who had some 3 or 5 vehicles – the number varied depending on his business activities) caused mayhem by inconsiderate parking. On more than one occasion this resident's inconsiderate parking caused obstruction and prevented other residents from entering or leaving their private parking bays. This bad neighbour has now left the area. The mayhem residents experienced has now ceased; and the principal cause of their complaints no longer exists.

There is a significant amount of private parking provision in Morland Close. There are 34 properties in this street (2 of which have been converted into flats). These properties have, between them, 31 off street private parking bays. Properties at 20 to 26 have no private bays and need to park on the street. There is no controlled parking in this street, so, if the Parking Restriction Order were to be approved, the 6 residents living in Morland Close would have to compete with other road users to be able to park in the 6 spaces that would be available. Clearly this would be unacceptable.

To conclude, there has never been a safety issue regarding parking in Morland Close, the original complaints about parking have been resolved due to the offending individual not residing there any longer. Should these restrictions be imposed then they will undoubtedly cause unnecessary stress and conflict for the regular users of this road.

Officer's Comments:

The aim of the proposal is to maintain clear access through the road and sightlines at the junctions of the carriageways and especially assist waste collection services as well as provide clear access for emergency services should the need arise.

In compliance with Transport for London Design Principles the regulations state that 1.5 metres is the minimum acceptable clearance on footways. The average width of the footways in Morland Close is 1.7 metres and the width of the carriageway is 5.4 metres at the entrance section from Church Road and 5.5 metres mid-way along the road. Morland Close does not have sufficient carriageway and footway width to accommodate vehicles parking on both sides with partial footway parking without causing obstruction to pedestrians.

Giving consideration to the representations received and additional investigation officers have revised the proposal for Morland Close to relocate the double yellow lines to the opposite side of the carriageway adjacent to No.33 and 34 and provide 2 additional parking spaces adjacent to No.26 Church Road, to provide 8 spaces in total.

It is important to note that waiting restrictions are proposed where parking cannot be accommodated without causing obstruction, where parking can be accommodated restrictions are not proposed.

Vernon Avenue, SW20

ES/WR2017B2/Vernon Ave/001

We live on Vernon Avenue. The sight line when turning out of Vernon Avenue onto Kingston Road is blind when vehicles (particularly vans and SUVs) are parked in the bay outside the kitchen and carpet shops. Traffic on Kingston Road is often fast moving, it would T-bone a car pulling out of Vernon Avenue if there were to be an accident. With lots of kids living on Vernon Ave, travelling in the back of cars, such an accident could be tragic.

Please take safety as the paramount concern over trader objections. There is plenty of other parking along Kingston Road for customers and there is an alley running along the back for deliveries.

ES/WR2017B2/Vernon Ave/002

I wish to support the proposal to extend the double yellow lines to the right of Vernon Ave as you exit from the road and sincerely hope that this will enable a clear view of oncoming traffic.

The problem was exacerbated when the on road parking was changed to half on half off. Prior to that it was possible to view the traffic providing there were not a number of pedestrians on the pavement at the time. Now it is impossible to view oncoming traffic unless you edge out into the Kingston Road part way across the carriage way, thus causing an obstruction to any approaching traffic. Unless something is done to improve the situation, this is an accident waiting to happen!


ES/WR2017B2/Vernon Ave/003

Please remove the parking bay outside No.578 Kingston Road and replace it with waiting restrictions 'at any time' (double yellow lines).

ES/WR2017B2/Vernon Ave/004

As long term residents of Vernon Avenue we have been concerned about the turn out into Kingston Road for some time and have contacted the council on a number of occasions to ask for something to be done to improve safety at this junction. We have personally witnessed a number of near misses at this junction primarily as a result of the limited visibility caused by vehicles being parked in Kingston Road in the bay closest to Vernon Avenue.

The situation at this junction is regularly made worse by the fact that more often than not the vehicles parked in Kingston Road are vans which are both large and contain fewer windows to see oncoming traffic through. Whilst we appreciate that there is a need for some parking in Kingston Road, we fully support the proposal to remove the closest bay to the junction with Vernon Avenue and hope that this will be sufficient to increase safety at this junction.

[illegible]

Merton Council - call-in request form

1. Decision to be called in: (required)

--

2. Which of the principles of decision making in Article 13 of the constitution has not been applied? (required)

Required by part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(ii) of the constitution - tick all that apply:

(a) proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome);	
(b) due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers;	
(c) respect for human rights and equalities;	
(d) a presumption in favour of openness;	
(e) clarity of aims and desired outcomes;	
(f) consideration and evaluation of alternatives;	
(g) irrelevant matters must be ignored.	

3. Desired outcome

Part 4E Section 16(f) of the constitution- select one:

(a) The Panel/Commission to refer the decision back to the decision making person or body for reconsideration, setting out in writing the nature of its concerns.	
(b) To refer the matter to full Council where the Commission/Panel determines that the decision is contrary to the Policy and/or Budget Framework	
(c) The Panel/Commission to decide not to refer the matter back to the decision making person or body *	
* If you select (c) please explain the purpose of calling in the decision.	

4. Evidence which demonstrates the alleged breach(es) indicated in 2 above (required)

Required by part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(ii) of the constitution:

5. Documents requested

6. Witnesses requested

7. Signed (not required if sent by email):

8. Notes – see part 4E section 16 of the constitution

Call-ins must be supported by at least three members of the Council.

The call in form and supporting requests must be received by 12 Noon on the third working day following the publication of the decision.

The form and/or supporting requests must be sent:

- **EITHER** by email from a Councillor's email account (no signature required) to democratic.services@merton.gov.uk
- **OR** as a signed paper copy to the Head of Democracy Services, 7th floor, Civic Centre, London Road, Morden SM4 5DX.

For further information or advice contact the Head of Democracy Services on
020 8545 3864